

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/019,263	MATSUMORI, AKIRA	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	San-ming Hui	1617	

All Participants:

(1) San-ming Hui.

(2) Mr. Warren Cheek, attorney of record.

Date of Interview: 8 June 2005

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:


Mr. Cheek informed the Examiner that the applicant would let the instant case go abandoned in favor of the continuation application.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)


 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)